## ASA's

# **Real Property**

**Going Concern Report Review Checklist** 



### **General Information**

#### **Use of Checklist**

This checklist offers convenient criteria for reviewing RP-Going Concern appraisal reports submitted as part of the ASA Accreditation process. It is intended to help you evaluate the appraisal report you will submit to the ASA International Board of Examiners (BOE) and will be used by an Examiner in reviewing your report work product. This checklist is applicable ONLY to the ASA Advancement process and is not intended to be used for any other purpose. For the purposes of demonstrating your appraisal expertise, we suggest you select appraisals that include the elements covered in this checklist.

This checklist provides guidelines to the standard elements often contained in a Real Property Going Concern report.

Your report must comply with the guidelines set by USPAP and ASA's Standards. Reports that do not meet these standards or are missing vital information will not be approved.

#### **Keys to Report Approval Success**

It's important to recognize that simply including all the checklist items in your report doesn't guarantee approval. The report must be supported, logically consistent and replicable by the examiner in order to pass. Superficial inclusion of the individual items in the checklist within the report may be wholly insufficient to convey the relevance of these items to the valuation and its findings. This is a common error seen in many submitted reports. The reader of the report should be able to develop a full and comprehensive understanding of the company being valued, its risks and opportunities, factors internal and external to it, and they should have a full understanding of how and why the appraiser took the step he or she did in assessing the company and arriving at a valuation conclusion.

Please Note – This checklist is not to be completed by the Candidate. The checklist is completed by the report reviewer. Candidates should use it as a guide when selecting their report to submit as part of their RP-Going Concern accreditation application process.

## **Going Concern Report Submission Grading Sheet**

Member #	Applicant	
Subject Property	Address	
Grader	Date Received by Grader	Date Completed by Grader
NOTE: Applicant is allowed one	revision after reviewer submits r	recommended corrections to applicant*
☐ Original Submission	☐ Recommended	□ Not Recommended
☐ Revised Submission	☐ Revision Recommende	ed Revision Not Recommended
□ Pass □ Revise/Resubmit	(Original Submissions only)	☐ Fail (Revised Submissions Only)
Grader's Signature	Date Returne	d
	Grading Matrix	
COLUMN PRINCIPLES, TECHNIQU	E AND THEORY PI	RESENTATION AND ANALYSIS OF DATA
3 Demonstrates complete unders	standing Ex	cellent; very convincing
2 Adequate understanding; com	<u> </u>	dequate and convincing
1 Marginal/minimal understandi		arginal; minimal understanding, not acceptable; convincing
0 No understanding	un	acceptable
Also, the reviewer should specifically stathe entire report is being graded, the print is looking for material issues (value issue appraiser has the requisite knowledge an include the typical industry sources. The restricted report is not acceptable and wi	nate what the appraiser needs to do nary focus is on the property types) not trivial issues such as typed understanding of how to appra submitted report should be at the ll be rejected. It is an underlying	ise a going concern. It also needs to e level of a demonstration report. A
*NOTE: Should the revised report be rej different reviewer will be assigned, or the	e appraiser may submit a comple	etely different report.
report reflects on the reputation of ASA	and the proclamation to the publ on for appraising going concern	reputation in question. The approval of the ic that ASA has approved the appraiser's properties. The certification is intended for

I. II	itroc	luctor	'v Into	rmation

DESCRIPTION	ACCEPTABLE	UNACCEPTABLE
1. Title Page		
2. Letter of Transmittal		
3. Table of Contents		
4. Subject Photographs		
5. Summary of Important Facts and Conclusions		
6. Purpose of the Appraisal		
7. Identification of the Property		
8. History of the Property		

#### II. Description, Analysis and Conclusions

A. SITE DESCRIPTION, ANALYSIS, & CONCLUSIONS	ACCEPTABLE		UNACCEPTABLE	
	3	2	1	0
1. Adequate description of relevant site characteristics				
2. Conclusion of functional utility and conformity of site to market				

B. IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION, ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS	ACCEPTABLE		UNACCEPTABLE	
	3	2	1	0
1. Description of relevant improvements characteristics				
2. Separation of short and long lived items				
3. Effective age				
4. Economic life and remaining economic life (market support)				
5. Functional utility				
6. External influences (if applicable)				
7. Conclusion of functional utility and conformity of improvements to market				

#### Grader's Comments:

C. ZONING AND LAND USE PLANS	ACCEP	TABLE	UNACC	EPTABLE
1. Designation/meaning				
2. Allowable uses (set-backs, parking, heights, etc.)				
3. Conformity or legal non-conforming				
4. Analysis and conclusions				
Grader's Comments:				
D. TAXES AND ASSESSMENT ANALYSIS	ACCEP	ACCEPTABLE		EPTABLE
	3	2	1	0
1. Overview of assessment and taxing procedures				

2. Current/Historical/Trends/Subject property assessment		
and tax rates		
3. Analysis of comparable tax data		
4. Conclusions		
Grader's Comments:		

E. FUNDAMENTAL MARKET ANALYSIS	ACCEPTABLE		UNACCE	EPTABLE
	3	2	1	0
1.Subject Analysis (graded as site description and analysis, improvements description and analysis, zoning and taxes above)				
2.Understanding of the market segment; the current state of the specific industry, as well as the future outlook for the industry overall				
3. Demand analysis for the property type and product sold				
4. Supply analysis				
5. Market position				
6. Forecast for subject				

**Grader's Comments:** 

F. HIGHEST AND BEST USE ANALYSIS	ACCE	PTABLE	UNACCEPTABLE	
	3	2	1	0
1. Definition and source				
2. Land as if vacant				
Legally permissible				
Physically possible				
Financially feasible				
Maximally productive				
Conclusion "as vacant"				
3. Property As Improved:				
Comparison of most profitable use with actual use				
Conclusions and support for obsolescence				
4. Conclusion of highest and best use analysis				
4. Conclusion of highest and best use analysis  Grader's Comments:				

G. COST APPROACH 1. Site Valuation (if applicable)	ACCEP	TABLE	UNACCE	PTABLE
G. COST ATTROACTIT. Site valuation (if applicable)	3	2	1	0

G. COST APPROACH 1. Site Valuation (if applicable)	ACCEPTABLE		UNACCEPTABLE	
	3	2	1	0
1. Description of the process				
2. Adequate description of comparable sales, market support and demonstration of adjustments of comparable sales				
3. Analysis and Reconciliation of value estimate				

Grader's Comments:		

G. COST APPROACH 2. Cost Estimate New	ACCEPTABLE		UNACCEPTABLE	
	3	2	1	0
1. Description of the process				
2. Sources, development & support of cost new estimate				
(building, site improvements; direct and indirect costs,				
entrepreneurial incentive)				
Grader's Comments:		<u> </u>	<u>_</u>	
C. COST ADDROACH 2. Downsisting Estimate	ACCE	PTABLE	UNACCE	PTABLE
G. COST APPROACH 3. Depreciation Estimate	ACCE 3	PTABLE 2	UNACCE 1	PTABLE 0
-		1	UNACCE 1	
G. COST APPROACH 3. Depreciation Estimate  1. Physical 2. Functional		1	UNACCE 1	
1. Physical 2. Functional		1	UNACCE 1	
1. Physical		1	UNACCE 1	

H. SALES COMPARISON APPROACH	ACCEPTABLE		UNACCEPTABLE	
	3	2	1	0
1. Description of the process, including appropriate unit of comparison for subject property type.				
2. Adequate description of comparable sales				
3. Appropriate elements of comparison for property type.				
4. Sufficient explanation/demonstration of market support for adjustments.				
5. Reconciliation of indicated value by sales comparison				

Grader's Comments:	

I. INCOME CAPITALIZATION APPROACH— DIRECT CAPITALIZATION	ACCEPTABLE		UNACCEPTABLE	
	3	2	1	0
1. Description of the process				
2. Adequacy of comparable/industry revenue data, support for stabilized revenue				
4. Support for occupancy				
5. Support for expense estimate				
6. Support for capitalization rate/NIM/GPM				
7. Reconciliation of indicated value in income approach				

Grader's Comments:

J. RECONCILIATION AND FINAL VALUE ESTIMATE	ACCEPTABLE		UNACC	EPTABLE
	3	2	1	0
1. Logical analysis of strengths and weaknesses				
2. Consideration of quality and quantity of supporting data				
3. Reasonable conclusion of final estimate of value (not an average)				

Grader's Comments:		

K. ALLOCATIONS		TABLE	OTVICEL	EPTABLE
Appropriate knowledge and application of acceptable procedures for allocating the following components:	3	2	1	0
1. Real property				
2. Personal property				
3. Intangible component				
4. Appropriate Data Sources for Property Type				
Grader's Comments:				
III. Certification and Addenda				
DESCRIPTION		ACCUDITABLE	: I IINA	
		ACCEPTABLE	Civi	ACCEPTABLE
1. Certification		ACCEFTABLE		ACCEPTABLE
Certification     Assumptions and Limiting Conditions		ACCEI TABLE		ACCEPTABLE
Certification     Assumptions and Limiting Conditions     Photographs		ACCET TABLE		ACCEPTABLE
Certification     Assumptions and Limiting Conditions     Photographs     Maps		ACCEPTABLE	CAU	ACCEPTABLE
Certification     Assumptions and Limiting Conditions     Photographs	nath)	ACCEPTABLE	Civi	ACCEPTABLE

**Additional Grader Comments:**